

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE,

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/836,521		04/17/2001	Dae-Sik Oh	1638	6056	
28005	7590	12/19/2003		EXAMINER		
SPRINT 6391 SPR	INIT DAI	O K W A V	FOX, BRYAN J			
KSOPHT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OVERLA	ND PAR	K, KS 66251-2100	2686	4		
				DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/836,521	OH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bryan J Fox	2686					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	ı .						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-18</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and a first and the second						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific 							
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
0.00							

Art Unit: 2686

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the predetermined type of communication" in claim

1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Farah (US006501946B1).

Art Unit: 2686

Page 3

Regarding claim 1, Farah discloses a system that permits multiple uniquely identifiable handsets to use the same mobile identification number (MIN) (see column 1, lines 65-67), which reads on the claimed "method of serving a plurality of mobile stations concurrently under a common MIN". Farah also discloses in figure 6 that an extension is needed to complete a call, so only one of the phones receives the call, which reads on the claimed "allowing MIN-based terminations to only one of the mobile stations at a time".

Regarding claim 3, figure 6 is a flow chart of a call directed to the MIN, which reads on the claimed "subscriber ID" (see column 6, lines 25-27).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2686

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farah in view of Boltz.

Regarding claim 19, Farah discloses a system that permits multiple uniquely identifiable handsets to use the same mobile identification number (MIN), which reads on the claimed "method of facilitating operation of multiple mobile stations concurrently under a common MIN". Farah fails to teach that only one station will respond to MIN-based termination messages.

Boltz teaches that only one station will be active at a time and that if both stations happen to be active at the same time, communications will go to the primary station (see column 2, lines 29-33), which reads on the claimed invention that restricts registration of the mobile stations such that the common ID number is associated with at most one registered mobile station that is arranged to respond to a termination message.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Farah with Boltz to only allow one station to be active at a time in order to prevent a user from trying to use a busy line.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 4-18 are allowed.

Regarding independent claims 2 and 17, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed invention that upon broadcasting the predetermined type of termination signal keyed to the subscriber ID only the first subscriber station will

Application/Control Number: 09/836,521 Page 5

Art Unit: 2686

respond to the termination signal in a system where multiple subscriber stations are associated with a common subscriber ID in a profile as specified in the claim.

Regarding claim 18, the applied references fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed invention that in a communication system serving multiple subscriber stations under a common station ID a first subscriber station is responsive to a broadcasted terminations message and other stations are not responsive to such a termination message as specified in the claim.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Pinard (US005454032A) discloses a method of establishing communication link to one of multiple devices associated with single telephone number.

Vo et al. (US005806000A) discloses a system for implementing short message service extension phones within a radio telecommunications network.

Nguyen (US005699407A) discloses a method and system for implementing extension phone within a cellular radio telecommunications network.

Art Unit: 2686

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bryan J Fox whose telephone number is (703) 305-

0997. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

746-9802.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

BJF

Marsha D. Banks-Harold MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 6